

Article

The mechanism of Andrena camellia in digesting toxic sugars

Zhen Li, Shiqing Zhong, Qiang Huang, ..., Wenkai Shi, Dongsheng Guo, Zhijiang Zeng

bees1965@sina.com

Highlights

The activity of GAL, GALT, GALK, and GALE in the gut of the Andrena camellia was strong

Identification of the metabolic profile of oligosaccharides in Andrena camellia gut

GAL, GALT, GALK, and GALE in the genome and were broadly conserved in Andrena spp

Li et al., iScience 27, 109847 June 21, 2024 © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109847) [j.isci.2024.109847](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109847)

Check for

Article

The mechanism of Andrena camellia in digesting toxic sugars

Zhen Li,[1](#page-1-0),[2,](#page-1-1)[3,](#page-1-2)[5](#page-1-3) Shiqing Zhong[,1](#page-1-0),[2,](#page-1-1)[5](#page-1-3) Qiang Huang,[1](#page-1-0)[,2](#page-1-1) Yong Zhang,[1](#page-1-0)[,4](#page-1-4) Tianyu Xu,[1](#page-1-0)[,2](#page-1-1) Wenkai Shi,[1,](#page-1-0)[2](#page-1-1) Dongsheng Guo,[3](#page-1-2) and Zhijiang Zeng^{[1,](#page-1-0)[2](#page-1-1)[,6](#page-1-5),[*](#page-1-6)}

CellPress OPEN ACCESS

 $\overline{1}$

SUMMARY

Camellia oleifera is an economically and medicinally valuable oilseed crop. Honeybee, the most abundant pollinator, rarely visits C. oleifera because of the toxic sugars in the nectar and pollen. These toxic sugars cannot be fully digested by honeybees and inhibit the process of synthesizing trehalose in honeybees. C. oleifera exhibits self-incompatibility, and its pollination heavily depends on Andrena camellia. However, the mechanism by which A. camellia digests toxic sugars in C. oleifera nectar and pollen remains unknown. Consequently, we identified and validated four single-copy genes $(\alpha$ -N-acetyl galactosamine-like, galactokinase, galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase, and UDP-galactose-4'-epimerase, abbreviated as NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE) essential for detoxifying toxic sugars in vitro. Then, we cloned the four genes into Escherichia coli, and expressed enzyme successfully degraded the toxic sugars. The phylogeny suggests that the genes were conserved and functionally diverged among the evolution. These results provide novel insights into pollinator detoxification during co-evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Camellia oleifera is known for its high-quality oilseed, known as the Eastern olive oil.^{[1](#page-8-0)} It is a dominant oilseed crop cultivated in southern China.^{[2](#page-8-1)} C. oleifera exhibits self-incompatibility characteristics.^{3,[4](#page-9-1)} As a typical hetero-pollinated plant, C. oleifera uses pollinators to bear fruit.^{[5](#page-9-2)} Commercially reared honeybees with multiple combs are desirable pollinating insects and can be used to contribute to the efficient pollination of crops. $6-$

However, when applying honeybees to pollinate C. oleifera, the honeybees are reluctant to visit C. oleifera flowers limiting the fruit yield because manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose in nectar and pollen are toxic to bees.^{[10,](#page-9-4)[11](#page-9-5)} The composition of manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose in C. oleifera nectar were 0.25%, 2.88%, and 3.71%, respectively, while they were slightly higher, 0.30%, 3.40%, and 4.16% in C. oleifera pollen.^{[11](#page-9-5)} Thus, C. oleifera depends heavily on the wild pollinator Andrena camellia.^{[12](#page-9-6),[13](#page-9-7)} In the last two decades, A. camellia has declined sharply due to crop monoculture in C. oleifera forests, habitat destruction of A. camellia, inten-sification of anthropogenic activities, and abuse of pesticides (insecticides and herbicides).^{[11](#page-9-5)} A. camellia nests are declining dramati-cally.^{[10](#page-9-4)} Not all pollinators of the Apoidea are unsuitable for the toxic oligosaccharides in the nectar and pollen of C. oleifera, such as the bumblebee genus belonging to the Apoidea can visit C. oleifera flowers without being poisoned. But the application of bum-blebees for pollination of C. oleifera cost too expensive.^{[14](#page-9-8)} Therefore, the dilemma of low efficiency of honeybee pollination for C. oleifera desperately needs to be busted.

A. camellia is a ground-nesting solitary bee belonging to the family Andrenidae.^{[15](#page-9-9)} Early in the blooming of C. oleifera flowers, A. camellia began to emerge from the nest room in the earth to collect nectar and pollen; the number of A. camellia peaked during the C. oleifera blooming period. The larvae and adult bees feed only on C. oleifera nectar and pollen throughout their lives.¹⁶ A. camellia can digest toxic oligosaccharides in C. oleifera nectar and pollen. However, the mechanism by which A. camellia digests these oligosaccharides remains unclear. Mannitriose, raffinose, and stachyose all belong to α -galactosides, and their hydrolysis requires α -GAL enzyme catalysis.^{[17](#page-9-11)} The NAGAlike gene in the genome of the Andrena spp. plays the role of encoding the α -GAL enzyme.¹⁸ In contrast, the breakdown of the three oligosaccharides into toxic galactose requires GALK, GALT, and GALE together.¹⁹

In this study, we revealed the mechanism adopted by A. camellia to digest toxic sugars using comparative genomics, metabolomics, prokaryotic protein expression, and in vitro digestion assays. The findings of this study provide insights into the functional co-evolution between A. camellia and C. oleifera.

*Correspondence: bees1965@sina.com

¹Honeybee Research Institute, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang 330045, China

²Jiangxi Province Key Laboratory of Honeybee Biology and Beekeeping, Nanchang 330045, China

³College of Life Science and Resources and Environment, Yichun University, Yichun 336000, China

⁴Jiujiang University, Jiujiang 332005, China

⁵These authors contributed equally

⁶Lead contact

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109847>

Figure 1. Chromosomal distribution of genes encoding GAL (ACW001516), GALK (ACW007457), GALT (ACW006345), and GALE (ACW003126) associated with oligosaccharide breakdown and galactose metabolism

RESULTS

Chromosomal locations of four genes that metabolize oligosaccharides in the genome of the A. camellia

In the genome of the A. camellia, we found that genes encoding galactosidase (NAGA-like), galactokinase (GALK), galactose 1-phosphate uridyltransferase (GALT), and uridine diphosphate-4'-epimerase (GALE) were located on chromosomes 1, 7, 6, and 2, respectively [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). The four genes were single copies in the genome and were broadly conserved in Andrena spp. ([Table S1\)](#page-7-0).

High gene expression profiles of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE in A. camellia

The metabolism of manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose to non-toxic UDP-glucose requires synergistic action of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE. Therefore, we examined the expression levels of these four genes in the head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera after feed the stachyose. The relative expression levels of NAGA-like, GALK, and GALT genes in the head and thorax were significantly higher in A. camellia than in A. cerana and A. mellifera (GAL, p < 0.001; GALK, p < 0.05; GALT, p < 0.001) ([Figures 2A](#page-3-0)–2C). High- and low-ranking GAL, GALK, and GALE enzyme activities in the guts of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera were consistent with gene expression (GAL, p < 0.001; GALK, p < 0.001; GALT, p < 0.001) ([Figures 2](#page-3-0)E–2G). The relative expression of the GALE gene in the head and thorax of A. camellia and A. cerana was significantly higher than that of A. mellifera (GALE, $p < 0.001$), and the difference between A. camellia and A. cerana was not significant ($p < 0.05$) [\(Figure 2D](#page-3-0)). GALE enzyme activity in the gut of A. camellia was significantly higher than that in the gut of A. mellifera $(p < 0.05)$. The difference in GALE enzyme activity in the gut of A. camellia compared with that of A. cerana was not significant ($p > 0.05$), nor was the difference in GALE enzyme activity in the gut of A. mellifera compared with that of A. cerana (GALE, $p = 0.056$) [\(Figure 2H](#page-3-0)).

Clustering relationship analysis of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes

Based on the four plots of the developmental tree, it can be understood that the NAGA-like, GALT, and GALE genes of the A. camellia clustered separately [\(Figures 3](#page-5-0)A, 3C, and 3D), in contrast, the GALK gene of the A. camellia clustered into a separate unit with D. novaeangliae ([Figure 3B](#page-5-0)).

A

B

 c

D

Relative expression level of GALE

 $\mathbf{3}$

 $\overline{2}$

Relative expression level of GALT

Relative expression level of GALK

Relative expression level of NAGA-like

 1.5

 1.0

 0.5

 0.0

 2.0

 1.5

 $1.0\,$

 0.5

 0.0

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

Figure 2. The relative expression of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes in the head and thorax of three honeybee species and GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE enzymes in the gut of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera, respectively (n=8)

(A) Relative expression of NAGA-like genes in the head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(B) Relative expression of GALK genes in the head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(C) Relative expression of the GALT genes in the head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(D) Relative expression of GALE genes in the head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(E) GAL enzyme activity in the guts of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(F) GALK enzyme activity in the guts of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(G) GALT enzyme activity in the guts of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera.

(H) GALE enzyme activity in the guts of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera. Note: The same lowercase letters in the same row indicate no significant differences ($p > 0.05$), and different lowercase letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Analysis of oligosaccharides and metabolite products in the gut of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera by HPLC and LC-MS/MS

Manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose accumulated in the gut of both A. cerana and A. mellifera, whereas A. cerana and A. mellifera broke down manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose to form monosaccharide products including galactose, glucose, and fructose ([Tables 1](#page-6-0) and [2](#page-7-1)). A. cerana and A. mellifera produce intermediate melibiose after feeding on manninotriose, raffinose, or stachyose ([Table 1\)](#page-6-0). However, A. camellia effectively decomposed oligosaccharides and produced galactose ([Table 2](#page-7-1)). More importantly, A. camellia could metabolize toxic galactose to non-toxic UDP-glucose, whereas A. cerana and A. mellifera could not effectively digest galactose [\(Table 2\)](#page-7-1).

Hydrolysis of oligosaccharides and galactose using GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE enzymes in vitro

We purified and expressed the four enzymes [\(Figure S3\)](#page-7-0) by transferring plasmids with GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE coding region sequences (from the A. camellia genome) into E. coli. The in vitro digestion results showed that mannotriose, raffinose, and stachyose could be hydrolyzed by GAL, whereas galactose, the basic structure of the three oligosaccharides, was converted to 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose, and UDPglucose by GALK, GALT, and GALE.

After the addition of GAL, the mannanotriose, raffinose, and stachyose contents significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) in the treatment group compared with those in the control group, and the hydrolysis efficiencies reached 6.63 \pm 1.18%, 12.32 \pm 0.35%, and 15.13 \pm 4.34%, respectively ([Figures 4A](#page-8-2)–4C). Compared with the control group, the sequential addition of GALK, GALT, and GALE to the galactose mother liquor resulted in a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in the galactose content of the treated group, with a galactose of 26.39 \pm 0.35% ([Figure 4D](#page-8-2)). Moreover, three galactose metabolites, including galactose 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose, which were not found in the control group, were detected in treated groups ([Figures 4](#page-8-2)E–4G).

DISCUSSION

Mannotriose is a trisaccharide comprising two galactose molecules and one glucose molecule. Two galactose molecules are linked to one glucose molecule on both sides via two α -(1 \rightarrow 6) galactosidic bonds.^{[11](#page-9-5)} The hydrolysis of an α -(1 \rightarrow 6) galactosidic bond by GAL produces one molecule each of galactose and one molecule of melibiose. It explains why the intermediate product melibiose was detected in the gut of A. camellia and A. mellifera after feeding on mannitritose, raffinose, or stachyose. Raffinose, a member of the raffinose family of oligosaccharides (RFOs), is composed of sucrose and chains of a-galactosyl residues attached to the glucose moiety of sucrose via an α -(1 \rightarrow 6) galactosidic linkage.^{[20](#page-9-14)} The stachyose composition is "galactose-galactose-glucose-fructose"; therefore, it can be considered as one molecule of galactose linked to one molecule of raffinose, or as one molecule of fructose bound to one molecule of mannotriose.^{[11](#page-9-5)}

This study showed that raffinose and stachyose do not accumulate over time when A. camellia feeds on them because the gut secretes more active GAL to hydrolyze raffinose and stachyose to release galactose. Although mannotriose accumulates over time in the gut of A. camellia, the low accumulation in the gut compared with A. cerana and A. mellifera may be due to the low mannotriose content added in the diet (0.30%), and the dynamic equilibrium of mannotriose degradation by A. camellia up to a certain safe concentration. When A. camellia was fed stachyose, the intermediate products, raffinose and mannotriose, were detected in their guts, as in the case of A. cerana and A. mellifera. This is because α -galactosidase breaks the α -(1 \rightarrow 6) galactosidic linkage on one side to release raffinose, or β -fructosidase hydrolyzes the α -(1 \rightarrow 2) glycosidic bond on the other side to release mannotriose. Additionally, the raffinose content in the gut of A. camellia was higher than that of mannotriose at 48th h and 72 nd h after feeding with stachyose ([Table 2](#page-7-1)). It was also confirmed that the GAL enzyme activity was higher in the gut of A. camellia. Indeed, we speculated that the gene encoding GAL in the genome of the A. camellia is α -N-acetyl galactosamine-like (NAGA-like). Molecular evolutionary analyses using branching and branching site models showed that the NAGA-like gene was under strong positive selection in the genomes of seven Andrena species, including the A. camellia, indicating that new phenotypes for this gene will emerge in these species.^{[18](#page-9-12)}

Galactose produced by hydrolysis of mannitritose, raffinose, and stachyose is toxic to Apidae, with a tolerance of no more than 5%.²¹ However, our results indicated that A. camellia can metabolize oligosaccharides to produce UDP-glucose through the secretion of highly active GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE enzyme. Furthermore, UDP-glucose undergoes glucose phosphorylase and glucose-6-phosphate translocase

Article

Cell^{ress} OPEN ACCESS

Figure 3. Clustering relationship analysis of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE in fifteen honeybee genomes, with Drosophila melanogaster as the outgroup

(A) Clustering relationship analysis of NAGA-like gene in 16 species.

(B) Clustering relationship analysis of GALK gene in 16 species.

(C) Clustering relationship analysis of GALT gene in 16 species.

(D) Clustering relationship analysis of GALE gene in 16 species.

activity to generate glucose-6-phosphate for entry into the pentose phosphate and glycolytic pathways.¹⁹ The high activity of GALK, GALT, and GALE enzymes in the gut of A. camellia was also convincing evidence that galactose is digested by these enzymes.

In summary, the decomposition of oligosaccharides in A. camellia was divided into two steps. Galactosidase breaks down the three macromolecular sugars into small molecules of glucose, fructose, and galactose [\(Figure S4](#page-7-0)). Galactose then passes through ''The Leloir Pathway'' to form UDP-glucose, which is subsequently phosphorylated and enters the glycolytic pathway^{11[,22](#page-9-16)} [\(Figure S4](#page-7-0)). Most importantly, in the second step, GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE enzyme must catalyze successive steps to complete the process.

These findings provide insights into the mutually beneficial symbiotic mechanisms of A. camellia and C. oleifera. As for the lethal mechanism of A. cerana and A. mellifera after foraging on mannitritose, raffinose, and stachyose, there are 2 points: (1) A. cerana and A. mellifera are unable to digest the three oligosaccharides adequately, which can cause the accumulation of oligosaccharides in the gut; (2) A. cerana and A. mellifera are also less able to digest the toxic galactose produced by digestion of oligosaccharides.

Limitations of the study

Although this study showed that the sequences of the coding regions of four enzymes in the A. camellia were introduced into E. coli and expressed in vivo to produce enzymes that could digest oligosaccharides and galactose that are toxic to honeybees in in vitro experiments, the mechanism of their functioning in the A. camellia and honeybee is not yet fully elucidated. The mechanism of digestion of

Table 1. Compositions of manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose and their metabolites in gut of Andrena camellia. Apis cerana, and Apis mellifera at different time points (n = 3)

Abbreviation: ND, no detected.

The same lowercase letters in the same column indicate no significant difference ($p > 0.05$), different lowercase letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$). The same as the following table.

Table 2. Composition of galactose and its metabolites in the gut of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera after foraging for mannitritose, raffinose, and stachyose at different time points $(n = 3)$

oligosaccharides and galactose in the A. camellia in in vivo experiments is not well characterized and may require isotopic tracing to be fully elucidated.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **[KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-10-0)**
- **[RESOURCE AVAILABILITY](#page-10-1)**
	- O Lead contact
	- \circ Materials availability
	- O Data and code availability
- **O** [METHOD DETAILS](#page-11-0)
	- O Insects collection
	- O Enzyme activity of GAL, GALK, GALT and GALE in the gut of A. camellia, A cerana and A. mellifera
	- O Sample treatment and analysis of oligosaccharides and breakdown products in the gut of three types of bees by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
	- O The relative expression level of four genes in the heads and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera
	- O Clustering relationship analysis of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes in different honey bee genomes
	- O In vitro expression of four enzymes and in vitro digestion of three oligosaccharides and galactose
	- O Analysis the efficiency of hydrolysis with three types of oligosaccharides with GAL in vitro by HPLC
	- O Data access
- **.** QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
	- O Data analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109847.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109847)

Figure 4. Residual sugar levels after 48 h of continuous digestion of manninotriose, raffinose, and stachyose with the addition of GAL and GALK, GALT and GALE enzyme generate galactose 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose levels upon 48 h of continuous digestion of galactose in vitro (A) Manninotriose concentrations in the control and treatment groups.

(B) Raffinose concentrations in the control and treatment groups.

- (C) Stachyose concentrations in control and treatment groups.
- (D) Galactose concentrations in the control and treatment groups.
- (E) Galactose 1-phosphate concentrations in the control and treatment groups.
-
- (F) UDP-galactose concentrations in the control and treatment groups. (G) UDP-glucose concentrations in control and treatment groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Earmarked Fund for the China Agricultural Research System (CARS-44-KXJ15) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32172790).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.L. and Z.J.Z. designed research; Z.L., S.Q.Z., and T.Y.X. performed research; Z.J.Z., Q.H., and D.S.G. provided guidance for data; Z.L., Y.Z., and W.K.S. analyzed data; Z.L. and Z.J.Z. wrote the paper.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Received: February 14, 2024 Revised: April 10, 2024 Accepted: April 25, 2024 Published: April 29, 2024

REFERENCES

1. [Feng, J.L., Yang, Z.J., Chen, S.P., El-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1)[Kassaby, Y.A., and Chen, H. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1) [High throughput sequencing of small](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1) [RNAs reveals dynamic microRNAs](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1)

[expression of lipid metabolism during](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1) [Camellia oleifera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1) and C. meiocarpa [seed natural drying. BMC Genom.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1) 18[, 546.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref1)

2. [Lin, P., Wang, K., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., Yan, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2) [Huang, H., Ma, X., Cao, Y., Long, W., Liu, W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2) [et al. \(2022\). The genome of oil-Camellia and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2) [population genomics analysis provide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2)

Article

[insights into seed oil domestication. Genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2) Biol. 23[, 14–21.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref2)

- 3. [Zhang, X.F., Yang, S.L., Han, Y.Y., Zhao, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) [Lu, G.L., Xia, T., and Gao, L.P. \(2014\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) [Qualitative and quantitative analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) [triterpene saponins from tea seed pomace](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) (Camellia oleifera [abel\) and their activities](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) [against bacteria and fungi. Molecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3) 19, [7568–7580.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref3)
- 4. [Lu, M., Zhou, J., Liu, Y., Yang, J., and Tan, X.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4) [\(2021\). CoNPR1 and CoNPR3. 1 are involved](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4) [in SA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4)-[and MeSA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4)-[mediated growth of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4) pollen tube in [Camellia oleifera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4). Physiol. Plantarum 172[, 2181–2190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref4)
- 5. [Aizen, M.A., Aguiar, S., Biesmeijer, J.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [Garibaldi, L.A., Inouye, D.W., Jung, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [Martins, D.J., Medel, R., Morales, C.L., Ngo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [H., et al. \(2019\). Global agricultural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [productivity is threatened by increasing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [pollinator dependence without a parallel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [increase in crop diversification. Global](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) [Change Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref5) 25, 3516–3527.
- 6. [Cecala, J.M., Lau, P.W., and Leong, J.M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6) [\(2020\). Floral bagging differentially affects](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6) [handling behaviours and single](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6)-[visit pollen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6) [deposition by honey bees and native bees.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6) [Ecol. Entomol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref6) 45, 1099–1107.
- 7. [Milner, J.R.D., Bloom, E.H., Crowder, D.W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref7) [and Northfield, T.D. \(2020\). Plant evolution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref7) [can mediate negative effects from honey](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref7) [bees on wild pollinators. Ecol. Evol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref7) 10, [4407–4418.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref7)
- 8. [Subasinghe Arachchige, E.C.W., Rader, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8) [Cutting, B.T., Keir, M., van Noort, T., Fale, G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8)
Howlett, B.G., Samnegård, U., and Evans, L.J. [\(2022\). Honey bees are the most abundant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8) [visitors to Australian watermelon but native](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8) [stingless bees are equally effective as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8) [pollinators. Ecol. Solut. Evid.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref8) 3, e12189.
- 9. [Qiu, L., Dong, J., Li, X., Parey, S.H., Tan, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9) [Orr, M., Majeed, A., Zhang, X., Luo, S., Zhou,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9) [X., et al. \(2023\). Defining honeybee](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9) [subspecies in an evolutionary context](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9) [warrants strategized conservation. Zool. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9) 44[, 483–493.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref9)
- 10. [Xie, Z., Chen, X., and Qiu, J. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref10) [Reproductive failure of camellia oleifera in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref10) [plateau region of China due to a shortage of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref10) [legitimate pollinators. Int. J. Agric. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref10) 15.
- 11. [Li, Z., Huang, Q., Zheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11) [Zhong, S., and Zeng, Z. \(2022\). Identification](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11) [of the toxic compounds in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11) Camellia oleifera [honey and pollen to honey bees \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11)Apis mellifera[\). J. Agric. Food Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11) 70, 13176– [13185.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref11)
- 12. [Li, H., Orr, M.C., Luo, A., Dou, F., Kou, R., Hu,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref12) [F., Zhu, C., and Huang, D. \(2021\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref12) [Relationships between wild bee abundance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref12) [and fruit set of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref12) Camellia oleifera Abel. J. Appl. Entomol. 145[, 277–285.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref12)
- 13. [Su, T., He, B., Zhao, F., Jiang, K., Lin, G., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref13) [Huang, Z. \(2022\). Population genomics and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref13) [phylogeography of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref13) Colletes gigas, a wild bee [specialized on winter flowering plants. Ecol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref13) Evol. 12[, e8863.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref13)
- 14. [Zhao, B., Xu, G., X, Z., Li, Q., Yi, Y., Yao, F., Shi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref14) [X., and Li, M. \(2018\). First trials to pollinate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref14) [Camellia oleitera by using Bombus terrestris.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref14) [J. Nanjing For. Univ. \(Nat. Sci. Ed.\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref14) 61, 175.
- 15. [Pisanty, G., Richter, R., Martin, T., Dettman, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) [and Cardinal, S. \(2022\). Molecular phylogeny,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) [historical biogeography and revised](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) [classification of andrenine bees](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) [\(Hymenoptera: Andrenidae\). Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) [Phylogenet. Evol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref15) 170, 107151.
- 16. [Huang, D., Kou, R., Orr, M.C., Li, H., Dou, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref16) [and Zhu, C. \(2021\). Comparison of two criteria](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref16) [on the essential number calculation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref16) Andrena camellia[. Bull. Entomol. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref16) 111, 364–370.

17. [Zhang, J., Song, G., Mei, Y., Li, R., Zhang, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref17) [and Liu, Y. \(2019\). Present status on removal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref17) [of raffinose family oligosaccharides-a review.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref17) [Czech J. Food Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref17) 37, 141–154.

d CellPress OPEN ACCESS

- 18. [Lin, G., Huang, Z., He, B., Jiang, K., Su, T., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref18) [Zhao, F. \(2023\). Evolutionary adaptation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref18) [genes involved in galactose derivatives](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref18) [metabolism in oil-tea specialized Andrena](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref18) [species. Genes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref18) 14, 1117.
- 19. [Daenzer, J.M.I., Sanders, R.D., Hang, D., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19) [Fridovich-Keil, J.L. \(2012\). UDP-galactose](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19) [4](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19)'[-epimerase activities toward UDP-Gal and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19) [UDP-GalNAc play different roles in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19) development of [Drosophila melanogaster](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19). [PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref19) 8, e1002721.
- 20. [Wakabayashi, T., Joseph, B., Yasumoto, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [Akashi, T., Aoki, T., Harada, K., Muranaka, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [Bamba, T., Fukusaki, E., Takeuchi, Y., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [\(2015\). Planteose as a storage carbohydrate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [required for early stage of germination of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [Orobanche minor and its metabolism as a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) [possible target for selective control. J. Exp.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20) Bot. 66[, 3085–3097.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref20)
- 21. [Peng, Y.S. \(1981\). Tolerance of lactose by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref21) [free-flying adult worker honeybees.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref21) [J. Apicult. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref21) 20, 89–93.
- 22. [Tang, M., Etokidem, E., and Lai, K. \(2016\). The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref22) Leloir pathway of galactose metabolism[novel therapeutic target for hepatocellular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref22) [carcinoma. Anticancer Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref22) 36, 6265–6271.
- 23. [Zheng, H., Perreau, J., Powell, J.E., Han, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23) [Zhang, Z., Kwong, W.K., Tringe, S.G., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23) [Moran, N.A. \(2019\). Division of labor in honey](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23) [bee gut microbiota for plant polysaccharide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23) [digestion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23) 116, [25909–25916.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref23)
- 24. [Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. \(2001\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref24) [Analysis of relative gene expression data](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref24) [using real-time quantitative PCR and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)01069-1/sref24) $2-\overline{\Delta}\Delta CT$ $2-\overline{\Delta}\Delta CT$ method. Methods 25, 402–408.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

For information and requests for resources, please contact Zhi Jiang Zeng (bees1965@sina.com).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

A. camellia genome at the chromosomal level have been deposited in NCBI under accession number PRJNA921724. All other data are included in the [supplemental information.](#page-7-0)

METHOD DETAILS

Insects collection

The samples of wild female A. camellia were collected from the C. oleifera plantation in Xicun Town, Yuanzhou District, Yichun City (114°20' N, 27°73' E). Apis cerana and Apis mellifera were placed in the same C. oleifera plantation, with five experimental colonies of each bee species.

Enzyme activity of GAL, GALK, GALT and GALE in the gut of A. camellia, A cerana and A. mellifera

The gut of the foragers from A. camellia, A. cerana, or A. mellifera was dissected (discarding the honey sacs, 5 bees' guts made up one sample, 8 biological replicates for each species) in 1.5 mL sterile EP tubes, 1 000 µL ultrapure water was added and well grinded. The supernatant was collected after 5 min of standing, centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 10 min and assessed for the enzyme activity of galactosidase (GAL), galactokinase (GALK), galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase (GALT) and UDP-galactose-4-epimerase (GALE) by ELISA (Mlbio Biotechnology Co., Shanghai, China) according to per the manufacturer's instructions.

Sample treatment and analysis of oligosaccharides and breakdown products in the gut of three types of bees by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

Before dietary treatment, bees (A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera foragers) were starved for 2 h and then fed mannotriose, raffinose, or stachyosedissolved in 50% (w/w) fructose solution. The three oligosaccharides were added in the same amount as in the C. oleifera pollen. After 48 h and 72 h of treatment, the entire gut was dissected from. Three oligosaccharide solutions were prepared by dissolving mannitritose, raffinose and stachyose (Sigma, Missouri, US, LC-MS, >99% pure) in 50% fructose solution according to the mass ratio (w/w) in C. oleifera pol-len, individually.^{[11](#page-9-5)} For detailed diet ratios, describe as [Table S2](#page-7-0). Collecting foragers of A. camellia, A. cerana and A. mellifera, respectively. All three types of bees were divided into three treatment groups, and each of the three groups was adequate supplied with a 50% fructose solution containing three individual oligosaccharide diets. Each treatment group was set up in three biological replicates, comprising 30 honey bees per replicate (reared in a plastic cup cage). The plastic cup cages with A. cerana and A. mellifera were placed in an incubator at a temperature of 34.5°C and a relative humidity of 75%. The cup cages with A. camellia were incubated at a temperature of 25°C and 45% relative humidity. At the beginning of the individual oligosaccharide feeding treatment, all honey bees were starved for 2 h.^{[23](#page-9-17)} The gut from the three treatment groups of the three honey bees (with the honey sacs removed) was dissected in 1.5 mL sterile EP tubes at 48th h and 72nd h after the start of feeding, separately. The gut of 15 honey bees from each cup cage was dissected each time to comprise one sample, and three samples were taken from each treatment group each time. Before dissection, the 15 honey bees in each group were weighed, and the gut dissected out of each tube was also weighed for subsequent weight conversions. Meanwhile, the foraging amounts of the three types of honey bees at the 48th h and 72nd h were also weighed and recorded.

After weighing 15 kinds of saccharide standards separately and accurately (see [Table S3](#page-7-0) for 15 saccharide standards information), add ultrapure water to prepare 10 mg/mL single standard master batch, and then take the appropriate amount of single standard master batch to prepare 40 µg/mL standard mixed standard (for 15 types of saccharide concentration, refer to [Table S4\)](#page-7-0). Accurately weigh 50 mg of freezedried gut powder sample (three samples per treatment group) in a 2.0 mL centrifuge tube and add 700 µL of 80% ethanol. The sample was shaken at 50 \degree C for 2 h and then diluted with 700 µL H₂O and centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 3 min. Lastly, the supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 mL injection vial.

A Thermo ICS5000 ion chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Technology Co., Ltd., Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an electrochemical detector and a CarboPac[™] PA1 (250×4.0 mm, 4 µm, Thermo Fisher Technology, Waltham, MA, USA) chromatographic column was used to construct standard curves for 15 saccharides (working solution concentration as the x-axis and peak area as the y-axis) and to simultaneously perform absolute quantification of saccharides in honey bee gut samples. The mobile phases were A: H₂O, B: 100 mM NaOH; the injection volume was 10 µL, the flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, and the column temperature was 30°C. Elution gradient: 0.0~12.0 min, 5% ~10%B; 12.1~15.0 min, 5%~100% B; 15.1~25.0 min, 100% B; 25.1~40.0 min, 100%~5% B; 40.1~60.0 min, 5% B. Fitting information for the 15 saccharides was presented in [Table S5.](#page-7-0)

Appropriate amounts of galactose 1-phosphate, uridine diphosphate galactose (UDP-galactose) and uridine diphosphate glucose (UDPglucose) standards (Sigma, Missouri, US, LC-MS, > 99% pure) were accurately weighed and prepared into a single standard master batch of 10 mg/mL with methanol, individually. This was followed by aspirating three appropriate amounts of the saccharide single standard master batch to compose mixed standard solutions of different concentrations as shown in [Table S6.](#page-7-0)

50 mg of freeze-dried A. camellia, A. cerana or A. mellifera gut sample (three samples per treatment group, all honey bees were foragers) was weighed into a 5 mL sterile centrifuge tube and 1000 μ L of extraction solution (methanol: acetonitrile: water = 2:2:1, v/v) was added. Ultrasonic treatment in the ice water bath for 10 min, liquid nitrogen flash freezing for 1 min, repeated three times. Samples were placed at -20°C for 1 h and later centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed by aspirating 800 mL into a new 2 mL sterile centrifuge tube, blowing dry with a nitrogen blower and adding 600 µL of 50% acetonitrile aqueous solution (v/v) for re-dissolution. Dissolved samples were shaken for 30 s and then sonicated in an ice water bath for 10 min. Following sonication, the samples were centrifuged at 3 000 rpm for 15 min at 4° C and 400 µL of supernatant was injected into a 1.5 mL injection vial.

High-performance liquid chromatography (LC-30A, Shimaduzu Co. Tokyo, Japan) tandem mass spectrometry (AB Sciex, 5600 Q-TOF/ 6500 Q-TRAP, Framingham, MA, USA) was applied to construct standard curves for the three saccharides (working solution concentration as the x-axis and peak area as the y-axis) and to concurrently detect the absolute compositions of galactose 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose

and UDP-glucose in all honey bee gut samples. Separations were carried out using an ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C18 chromatographic column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7 µm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Analytes were separated by ultrapure water (containing 25 mM CH3COONH₄ and 25 mM NH₄OH) (A) and Acetonitrile (B) at a flow-rate of 0.3 mL/min. The linear gradient elution program was: 0.0~1.0 min, 85% B; 1.1~12.0 min, 65% B; 12.1~15.0 min, 40% B; 15.1~20.0 min, 85% B. The column was thermostated at 40°C and injection volume 5 µL.

Mass spectrometry conditions: electrospray ionization source (ESI). Ion-source temperature 600°C, ion-source voltage 5500 V, curtain gas 20 psi, nebulizer gas and auxiliary gas 60 psi. Scanning was performed using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM).

The standard curves for the galactose 1-phosphate, uridine diphosphate galactose and uridine diphosphate glucose were provided in [Table S7](#page-7-0).

The relative expression level of four genes in the heads and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana, and A. mellifera

To explore the NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes expression of the head and thorax of the A. camellia, A. cerana and A. mellifera. The head and thorax of the three species were dissected at the 48th h of feeding. Total RNA was extracted from the head and thorax tissues after feed the three mixed oligosaccharides. The head and thorax of A. camellia, A. cerana or A. mellifera were dissected in 1.5 mL RNase centrifuge tubes as one sample (eight biological replicates were set for each honey bee species) and total RNA was extracted according to the instructions of the TransZo[™] Up Plus RNA extraction kit (Beijing TansGen Biotechnology Co., Beijing, China). The final volume of complementary DNA (cDNA) prepared with a cDNA synthesis kit (Takara, Dalian, China) was 30 µL. Primers were designed by Primer 5.0 using the CDS sequences of A. camellia obtained from our lab sequence results, and the CDS sequences of A. cerana (ApisCC1.0) and A. mellifera (Amel_HAv3.1) genes downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Sequences of primers for the GAL, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes of A. camellia, A. cerana and A. mellifera were listed in [Table S8.](#page-7-0) All the primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). RT-qPCR amplification systems include 5 µL of SYBR® Premix ExTaqTM II (Takara Co. Beijing, China), 0.2 µL ROX (Takara Co. Beijing, China), 0.4 µL of forward primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL of reverse primer (10 μM), 3 μL nuclease-free water, and 1 μL of diluted template cDNA (400 ng/μL) in an RT-qPCR machine (ABI Q5, Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 45 s and 60°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of 50°C heated to 90°C (1°C increase per 6 s). At the end of the reaction, according to the CT value of each target gene and the reference gene (GAPDH), the relative expression of the target gene was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.^{[24](#page-9-18)}

Clustering relationship analysis of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE genes in different honey bee genomes

Homologous Coding Sequence of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE in the genomes of 15 bee species were selected for cluster analysis, with Drosophila melanogaster as the outgroup. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the MEGA 7.0 software packages.

In vitro expression of four enzymes and in vitro digestion of three oligosaccharides and galactose

NAGA-like, GALK, GALT, and GALE were successfully expressed using the constructed plasmids with the coding regions of four genes into E. coli (E. coli BL21 (DE3)-PET-28A-(NAGA-like; GALK; GALT; GALE)). Finally, the conversion of galactose to UDP-glucose was simulated in vitro using these four enzymes. Synthesize NAGA-like, GALK, GALT or GALE genes respectively, prepare the following system according to [Table S9](#page-7-0) in a 0.2 mL EP tube, dilute the template 20-fold, and take 0.5 µL to amplify NAGA-like, GALK, GALT or GALE. Mix well, and then put it into the GeneAmp PCR System 2400 PCR equipment (Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) for amplification. Amplification conditions were 94°C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles (98°C for 15 s, 58°C for 15 s and then 68°C 1 min). After storage at 68°C for 5 min, the final instrument temperature was reduced to 4°C to preserve the samples.

The PCR of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT or GALE products were recovered using the DNA Gel Recovery Kit (Dongsheng Biotech, Guangzhou, China), and the experimental procedures were referred to the reagent manufacturer's instructions. Photographs of PCR products during cut gel recovery are shown in [Figure S1.](#page-7-0) Then, the PCR recovery products of NAGA-like, GALK, GALT or GALE and pET-28a+ plasmid (Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was each taken in 15 µL, respectively, and were double digested with XhoI/BamHI (Takara, Dalian, China), and the enzymatic system was shown in [Table S10.](#page-7-0) After mixing, the reaction was done at 37°C for about 3 h. Likewise, the enzymatic products of GAL, GALK, GALT or GAKLE were recovered using the DNA Gel Recovery Kit (Dongsheng Biotech, Guangzhou, China). Linking of the target fragment to the plasmid was performed using T4 DNA Ligase (Takara, Dalian, China), with the reagent addition system as described in [Table S11,](#page-7-0) and sustained ligation at 16° C for 1 h.

5 µL of ligation product (GAL, GALK, GALT or GALE) was added to a 1 mL centrifuge tube with 50 µL of DH5a receptor cells in an ice bath. The tubes were then gently spun and mixed and incubated in an ice bath for 30 min. Heat shock was applied to the tubes in a water bath at 42°C for 90 s. Following this, the tubes were immediately transferred to an ice bath and incubated for 2 min. 200 µL of LB medium was added to each of the tubes, mixed, and incubated with shaking at 37°C for 1 h at 200 rpm. The liquid was spread uniformly on a Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing kanamycin (100 µg/mL) in an ultra-clean bench at room temperature until the liquid was absorbed. Finally, the plates were inverted and transferred to a biochemical incubator at 37°C for overnight incubation (12 h).

Several single clones were picked from the plates and cultured in centrifuge tubes containing 3 mL of LB medium overnight (12 h) on a shaker to extract the plasmids, which were digested at 37° C for 2 h (the enzymatic reaction system as described in [Table S12](#page-7-0)). The digested products (GAL, GALK, GALT, or GALE) were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (EB) and imaged by UVP gel imaging system ([Figure S2\)](#page-7-0).

The constructed expression plasmid (PET-28A-(GAL, GALK, GALT, GALE)) was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) expressing bacteria, coated on LB plates containing the appropriate antibiotic (Kan, final concentration 50 μ g/mL) and incubated in an inverted incubator at 37°C

overnight (12 h).Next, single colonies were picked from the plates and inoculated in 3 mL of LB liquid medium (containing Kan) in an ultraclean bench and pre-incubated overnight (12 h) at 37°C on a 230 rpm shaker. In a 1 L triangular flask, 2 mL of pre-culture bacterial solution was added to 200 mL of LB medium (containing Kan) at a ratio of 1: 100 with a micropipette and incubated at 37°C on a shaking bed at 230 rpm for about 2-3 h, so that its OD_{600nm} reached 0.4-0.8.

Also in the ultra-clean bench, 1 mL of 100 mM inducer IPTG was added to the culture solution to give a final concentration of 0.5 mM. Incubation was induced overnight (12 h) at 18°C on a shaker at 180 rpm. After incubation, the triangular vials were removed from the shaker and placed on ice for 10 min, during which time they were shaken two or three times. Using a pipette, the bacterial solution was pipetted into a clean 50 mL centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 4 000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded. Repeat centrifugation until all organisms were collected.

Bacteria were resuspended in ice pre-cooled lysis buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH), trying to avoid air bubbles as much as possible, and 200 mL of bacterial solution was finally resuspended in 16 mL lysis buffer and shaken slowly for 1 h on ice. A total of 5 bottles were shaken, totaling 1 L, and finally resuspended in 80 mL lysis buffer, which was divided into two 50 mL centrifuge tubes, 40 mL in each tube, and then ultrasonicated on ice for 6 s, with 6 s intervals, 60 times, at 300 W. After ultrasonication, centrifugation was performed at 4°C for 30 min at 10,000 g. Transfer the supernatant to a clean centrifuge tube, add 1 mL of Ni-NTA beads (Kingsley Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) pretreated with lysis buffer, and shake slowly on ice for 1 h to fully bind the beads to the protein.

Mixed solution of beads-proteins was transferred to a Poly-Prep chromatography column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, US) to allow beads to settle naturally. Washing with 8 mL wash buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH) was performed two times. Finally, the lower target proteins were eluted with elution buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH) into 1.5 mL EP tubes 3 times, 1 mL each time. Bradford assay was used to determine the protein concentration ([Table S13\)](#page-7-0) in each tube and then 12% SDS-PAGE was applied to detect the purification effect ([Figure S3](#page-7-0)).

Analysis the efficiency of hydrolysis with three types of oligosaccharides with GAL in vitro by HPLC

Firstly, 100 mg each of mannotriose, raffinose and stacyose standards (Sigma, Missouri, US, LC-MS, > 99% pure) were dissolved in 5 mL of LC-MS-grade water, and then transferred to a 10 mL volumetric flask and fixed to scale line with LC-MS-grade water. Before testing, each standard solution was diluted according to the gradient shown in [Table S14](#page-7-0) and loaded into a 1.5-mL injection vial. The fitting degrees of the 3 saccharide standards were all ≥ 0.9992 , indicating a strong linear relationship. The results are shown in [Table S15](#page-7-0).

The mother liquor containing two times the content of single oligosaccharide was prepared with reference to the content of manninotriose and raffinose and stachyose in Camellia oleifera nectar,^{[11](#page-9-5)} respectively, and then 1 mL of the mother liquor was added to each of the two 10 mL centrifuge tubes. One tube was used as a control with 1 mL of PBS, and the other tube was added with 1 mL of GAL solution (concentration with 0.8 mg/mL), and two tubes both to shake and mix. Three replicates were set up for each group. The oligosaccharide content in control and treatment groups were detected after 48 h (the digestion was stopped by heating the tubes at 80°C for 15 min to inactivate the enzyme) of digestion at room temperature using HPLC techniques (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples were diluted 4-fold prior to testing.

Analyses were performed in a ZORBAX NH₂ column (4.6×250 mm, 5 µm, Agilent, USA). Mobile phase A: 67% acetonitrile in LC-MS-grade water (v/v); gradient elution program: 0.0-17.0 min, 100% A; flow rate: 1.0 mL/min; column temperature 35°C; post-run for 5 min. The amount injected into the liquid chromatography system was 10 μ L.

To prepare mixed standards including galactose, galactose 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose standards (Sigma, Missouri, US, LC-MS, > 99% pure) were accurate weighed. Then, LC/MS-grade water was used to prepare mixed standard santonin and caffeine solutions of 0.16, 0.8, 4, 20 and 40 mg/mL, respectively, which were used to form the standard working curves of both ([Table S16\)](#page-7-0).

The masterbatch was prepared based on the total amount of galactose in C. oleifera nectar and the solvent was mass spectrometry grade water.¹¹ Take two 10 mL centrifuge tubes, add 1 mL of mother liquor to one tube and then add 3 mL PBS solution as control group. After adding 1 mL of mother solution to the other tube, 1 mL each of GALK, GALT and GALE solutions (GALK concentration with 1.5 mg/mL, GALt concentration with 0.9 mg/mL, GALE concentration with 1.0 mg/mL) were added sequentially as treatment groups. For each group of experimental sets, four biological replicates were established. The two groups of tubes were shaken and mixed and then digested until 48 h (the digestion was stopped by heating the tubes at 80°C for 15 min to inactivate the enzyme) and LC-MS (AB Sciex, 5600 Q-TOF/ 6500 Q-TRAP, Framingham, MA, USA) was applied to detect the concentration of galactose, galactose 1-phosphate, UDP-galactose and u UDP-glucose in all samples.

Separations were carried out using an ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C18 chromatographic column (2.1×100 mm, 1.7 μm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Analytes were separated by LC-MS-grade water (containing 25 mM CH₃COONH₄ and 25 mM NH₄OH) (A) and Acetonitrile (B) at a flowrate of 0.3 mL/min. The linear gradient elution program was: 0.0~1.0 min, 85% B; 1.1~12.0 min, 65% B; 12.1~15.0 min, 40% B; 15.1~20.0 min, 85% B. The column was thermostated at 40° C and injection volume 5 µL.

Mass spectrometry conditions: electrospray ionization source (ESI). Ion-source temperature 600°C, ion-source voltage 5500 V, curtain gas 20 psi, nebulizer gas and auxiliary gas 60 psi. Scanning was performed using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM).

Data access

A. camellia genome at the chromosomal level have been deposited in NCBI under accession number PRJNA921724. All other data are included in the [supplemental information.](#page-7-0)

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data analysis

All data are expressed as mean \pm S.E.. For statistical analysis, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the relative gene expression level, enzyme activity and saccharide composition among the three honey bees followed by appropriate post hoc test was used to determine statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).